

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 23, 1999 LB 211

addresses much of what we may end up doing, if the body decides to adopt the Beutler amendment. But could you tell me if presently the cabins and buildings managed by the Game and Parks allot a certain number for designated as nonsmoking?

SENATOR PREISTER: I think they have something set up in the lodge. The out-cabins, that are outside of the lodge, I really am not sure how they currently deal with that.

SENATOR BOHLKE: I would hope that we could address that issue, otherwise I would want to delete letter (e) on your amendment, because I think it's different, it's not as to reside or to lodge. But this is a customer-based op...when you make a reservation for a cabin, and if they do not allow for nonsmoking, I think they should either allow for a certain percentage of the cabins to be nonsmoking, so that, when you pay money and go into those cabins, you do not have to be in a cabin where there has been that smoking. I would like that clarified either, if we adopt your amendment, or if we look at Senator Beutler's amendment that says we would resolve this on Final Reading. I actually think it's usually better to resolve something on Select File.

SENATOR PREISTER: I agree.

SENATOR BOHLKE: So I appreciate your amendment, but I would also like to see some discussion of eliminating the...on line 13, starting with (e). Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature. I support LB 211 in its original form. I would have supported the Peterson amendment as it was originally written, because it left open what I think is the prospect of accommodation, adults talking to each other and making reasonable rules; people speaking directly to each other rather than running off, up here, to write a rule to tell people what they have to do or have not to do. And we then get trapped in making all of the exceptions for everybody that we can think of--is this the right exception, is that the right exception? We can't trust the Game and Parks Commission to figure out that